

Marian Lutostański, PhD

## Summary of Professional Accomplishments

**1. First name and surname:** Marian Lutostański

**2. Academic degrees, diplomas –a place and year of awarding them and a title of the doctoral dissertation:**

- An Engineer Commander of the Mechanized Infantry, The Tadeusz Kosciuszko Military Academy of Land Forces, Wrocław 1981;
- The Master Degree in Organization and Management, a Faculty of Management, the University of Warsaw, Warsaw 1989;
- PhD Degree of Military Science with a specialization in National Security, the Strategy and Defence Faculty, The National Defence University, Warsaw 2005.

**Title of the Doctoral Dissertation:** *The Model of the State Reserve Management System of the Health Service of the Republic of Poland.*

**3. Information on previous employment in academic institutions:**

- The Social Academy of Business and Management/University of Social Sciences – National Security Institute, 2008-2012, Assistant Professor;
- The National Defence University –The National Security Faculty, 2012/2013, classes;
- Radom Academy of Economics –The Faculty for Strategic Studies and Technology, 2014/2015, classes;
- National Defence University –The National Security Faculty, 2014/2015, classes;
- The State University of Applied Sciences in Konin, an academic teacher, 2017/2018.

**4. Indication of the achievement resulting from Art. 16 Section 2 of the Act on Academic Degrees and an Academic Title and Degrees and a Title in Art. (Dz. U. [Journal of Laws] of 2017, item 1789, as amended):**

a) a title of academic achievement:

“The Fundamentals of National Security of the Republic of Poland” – the title of an academic achievement presented in two complementary monographs as follow:

1. *The Main Components of the Architecture of the State Security Protection;*

2. *Security. A Complementary Component of the Security Architecture of the Nation and State;*

**b) an author, titles of the publication, a year of publication, name of a publishing house, publishing reviewers):**

Marian Lutostański, *The Fundamentals of National Security of the Republic of Poland*, 2014, the Social Academy of Sciences Publishing House in Łódź,

Publisher Reviewers:

- an associate professor Marian Cieślarczyk, PhD
- an associate professor Marian Kozub; PhD, Eng.

Marian Lutostański, *Security. A Complementary Component of the Security Architecture of the Nation and the State*, 2016, Difin Publishing House,

Publisher Reviewers:

- professor, Jan Maciejewski, PhD
- professor, Ryszard Szynowski, PhD

**c) a review of the scientific purpose of the above works and results achieved, together with a review of their possible use:**

**- genesis of choosing the subject of investigation - more important premises**

Before the beginning of the scientific and didactic work, which started in 2008, the subject of my scientific interests were the multi-faceted issues of the security of the nation and the state. However, organizational and legal forms of performing tasks in the field of security protection of these entities prevailed in particular. It so happened that my academic activity was related to conducting many subjects covering a wide range of security problems of the state, including its defense. This circumstance required much work, and at the same time created opportunities to broaden the cognitive perspective of the nation and state security, and to observe the students' learning process in the field of security. Getting to know new facts and their analysis led to the conclusion that the theory and practice of security, including "teaching security" need, among others, new theoretical concepts that will facilitate understanding of the security organization of the nation and state. Among the identified problems, I considered the semantical, organizational and legal issues to be particularly important. In my opinion they have a significant impact on the reality of the security of each entity, create its understanding and determine its role in the contemporary, non-simplistic socio-political reality.

The monographs show two complementary sets of components of the security of the nation and state. These components can be considered both separately and together.

Taking into consideration a character of this summary of professional achievements it should be highlighted that those two above mentioned monographies present two complementary sets of components of the nation and state security. It is also worth to add that to increase the auto-objectivity of the expressed views on the scientific purpose of the listed monographs and the results obtained, fragments of the opinion of editorial reviewers will be referred to.

– **the first monograph:**

Marian Lutostański, *The Fundamentals of National Security of the Republic of Poland*, 2014, Social Academy of Sciences Publishing House in Łódź.

Publisher Reviewers:

- prof. dr hab. Marian Cieślarczyk - University of Natural Sciences and Humanities in Siedlce;
- prof. dr hab. inż. Marian Kozub - National Defense University in Warsaw.

The object of an investigation in this monograph is the multi-aspect protection of the security of existence and the development of the state - understood as a legal institution created by the nation for a specific objective, carried out by appropriate organs and organizational units. On the other hand, research problems and objectives focus not so much on explaining the legitimacy and reasons for organizing the national security system, relations within organizational units, and between system participants, to which a lot of attention has been paid in the already existing studies, but on separation of the main components of the architecture of state security and determining their nature and functions in the system, as well as presenting the properties of organs and organizational units that form them (the basic elements of these components). Conclusions resulting from the analysis of own observations and opinions of the academic community pointed to the existence of difficulties in acquiring and understanding, among others by students, issues of legal and organizational protection of state security. The identified problems constituted an incentive to seek a new way of depicting the architecture of state security protection.

The correlated research problem and objective have required in the first place to indicate and define the basic types of state security protection. I assumed that the types of such protection should remain in close connection with state threats - internal and external.

To highlight the basic types of state security protection, I used the general criterion of the nature of the protection performed by the organs and organizational units operating in the current national security system. As each of the types of state security protection is exercised by specialized organs and organizational units with specific characteristics, typical for a particular protection, expresses its character and indicates its functions, they create two main internal and external components in the security architecture of the state security. However, bearing in mind the special tasks that are

carried out both as part of the protection of internal security and public order in the state, as well as within the protection of the nation's external security, and recognizing the need to clearly underline their character and the nature of the authorities performing them, I have distinguished among the main types of security protection an additional form of protection of a special nature, which I have defined as the special protection of the state security and its defense potential. This approach allowed to distinguish the third type of the state security protection, and at the same time the third, main component in the architecture of the state security protection.

I also assumed that the tasks and the manner of their execution by the competent authorities and organizational units constituting key elements of the separated main components of this architecture will determine the names of the components that create it.

The monograph consists of seven parts, including three chapters, each of them begins with a theoretical section and the section with summary, Each chapter is titled indicating the scope and area of consideration.

*Introduction* presents the methodical and methodological aspects of the monograph and explains the concept of its elaboration; the first chapter - *Special Protection of State Security and its Defense Potential - Legal and Organizational Aspects* - are devoted to the component of the state security architecture, the domain of which is the acquisition of information important for state security through intelligence and counterintelligence (not always public) - information important for the state's security. I presented theoretical issues relating to concepts, essence and purpose of special protection, as well as how to extract and determine the properties of the organ of such security. Afterwards I pointed out the special protection component modules with their scopes of competence. I summarize this chapter with a reflection showing within its frames among the others general organization chart of special protection component of the state security and its defence capability; the second chapter - *Protection of Internal Security and Public Order in the State - the Legal and Organizational Approach* includes issues of meaning and place, and generally the foundation of the architecture of internal security protection. This chapter, like the previous one, I started with theory elements distinctive for the subject of consideration and used to fully highlight the main thread of investigation, and so the institutions and their properties in relation to the protection of internal security of the state. I ended the chapter with the resumption of considerations creating an organizational chart of component of internal security protection and public order in the state; the third chapter - *Protection of the External Security of the State - the Legal and Organizational Dimension* - contains semantic and explanatory information as well as the property and legal basis for the functioning of entities included in the external security component. This chapter also points out the dysfunctions of the organizational structure of the



Polish Armed Forces introduced in year 2014. *The Conclusion* is a contextual justification for the state having various types of organizations appropriate to the safe and secure pursuit of both economic interests and those relating to achieving social, political, and military objectives.

The monograph is created mainly for institutions competent for the protection of the security of the Member State, as a subject of international law. Setting the monograph an unworn portion in the literature of the subject of the formula to illustrate the functional nature of the protection of the security of the State with the tools of State authority competent to provide protection in correlation with the nature of the risks and make this organization also easier in the perception.

It's also worth to note that one of the tangible results of the publication was an invitation - in the second half of the year 2015 - from the Polish Armed Forces Operational Command to conduct a lecture for the Staff Command based on my monograph. On January 13<sup>th</sup>, 2016 I conducted such a lecture as a part of the Inaugural Continuation Training for the Staff of Armed Forces Operational Command. The topic of the speech was *The Fundamentals of National Security of the Republic of Poland*<sup>1</sup>.

Dysfunctional elements showed in this monograph were a result of analysis of the organizational structure of the Polish Armed Forces introduced in 2014 – mainly in the field of managing these forces, training and commanding the min practice, and then have been corrected. Introduced to the organizational structure of the Polish Armed Forces adjustment is in a line with the expressed in monographs insights and let the Polish Armed Forces to obtain the necessary competence clarity in training system and command. The applied adjustment also has improved transparency in terms of responsibility for the multidimensional internal cohesion of the Polish Armed Forces.

According to the indicated way of presenting results of an obtained scientific aim of the monograph I will use excerpts of the opinion of publishing reviewers:

- the professor Marian Cieślarczyk, PhD wrote, among others: (...) *The empirical research, carried out in recent years as part of the "National Security System of the Republic of Poland" project, shows how much we have to do in our country. Too often, gaps in knowledge about security and its conditions, including the "main components of the architecture of state security protection" are filled with stereotypes and inadequate representations on the subject. In this situation, the reviewed monograph may turn out to be very useful. (...) In the opinion of the reviewer, the basic advantage of the monograph is that it presents - in a synthetic and systematic way - important elements of the national security system. (...);*

---

<sup>1</sup> Information about the lecture, vide: [www.do.wp.mil.pl/artykuly/aktualnosci/2016-01-13-nowy-rok-szkoleniowy/](http://www.do.wp.mil.pl/artykuly/aktualnosci/2016-01-13-nowy-rok-szkoleniowy/) [access: January 21th, 2019].

- the professor Marian Kozub, PhD, Eng. wrote, among others: (...) *The issue (...) taken up, beyond its cognitive value, represents valuable knowledge. I believe that its scope, being the result of research, is the basis for creating solutions that can functionally support the activities of state institutions. (...) the work was written in a cognitively interesting way due to the attempt to systematize knowledge and present a new approach to the problem. (...) It is worth emphasizing the author's interpretation of the concept, nature, and objective of protection of special state security, including its defense potential and the authorities' competence regarding such protection, but also the perception of internal and external security protection (...). It makes the character of the monograph unique due to, among others, a multi-criteria, logical way to include cause and effect relationships in the political, social, but also military and informational spheres. (...).*

– **the second monograph:**

Marian Lutostański, *Security. The Complementary Component in Addition to the Main Components of State Security Protection*, 2016, Difin Publishing House, Warsaw.

Publisher Reviewers:

- prof. dr hab. Jan Maciejewski - University of Wrocław,
- dr hab. Ryszard Szyński - University of Business in Dąbrowa Górnicza.

Issues raised in this monograph constitute the next stage of research on the organization of security protection of the nation and state, which through a multi-faceted unity of objective remain in a very strong relation with the investigations concerning the main forms and methods of internal and external security protection of the Republic of Poland, described by me in the monograph *The Fundamentals of National Security of the Republic of Poland*.

Assuming that optimization of conditions of broadly understood safe duration and the development of the nation and the state belongs to the tasks of the authority acting in the acceptance of the sovereign, on behalf of which it appoints competent organs and organizational units, designating them with the right to use a range of protective and defense methods and forms, investigations and descriptions in this monograph include the ways and forms of obtaining the possible full picture of the organization of protection of the security of the nation and state, analyzing them, and confronting the existential and developmental conditions of these entities in different perspectives. One of the objectives of the adopted research approach was to indicate the complexity of the architecture of national and state security protection and to demonstrate that it is not only related to military and police aspects - although it is related to them - but is the result of diverse individual and collective needs resulting from a rich practice of social life, which affects the universal security in the state in time of peace. At this time, both the individual and its multiplicity expressed in the

size and nature of social groups, are exposed to a multitude of onerous and even dangerous situations that require - not only from them - to undertake differentiated forms of protective reactions.

These facts allowed to distinguish entities (organs and organizational units) whose legal basis for creation and operation as well as the scope of activities have been defined and presented in the sectoral systematization as a set of elements of a complementary component of the architecture of national and state security protection. One of the intentions of preparing this monograph was to show the need for both the integrity of this component with the organization (system) of the security of the nation and the state (in the literature defined as the national security system), as well as the demonstration of its individual character. I assumed that it will be a description of a part of the security organization of the nation and the state, referring mainly to the internal aspects of this phenomenon.

Among the many premises that laid the basis for the preparation of this monograph, the most important one is the need - resulting from the analysis of the conclusions of a research project, which I ran in the 2015, as well as the conclusions of the research by the professor M. Cieślarczyk and A. Filipek PhD, within the framework of the project "National Security System of the Republic of Poland"<sup>2</sup>. Then I discovered a need of disseminate and consolidate knowledge about entities of particular importance in the everyday life of citizens, and thus occupying an important place in the architecture of national and state security, but often invisible as they are somewhat in the background behind external security - military or internal, but with a strict police nature. It is about - the necessary for the widely understood governance, order, and sense of justice - components of the organization (system) of protecting the security of the nation and the state.

Without them the architecture of this organization would not only be incomplete, but also dysfunctional. Not without significance for addressing the indicated problem were questions raised after the publication of the book *The Fundamentals of National Security of the Republic of Poland*, including on the "non-major" components of this architecture and questions, which I considered a different category of problem, on the expression "protection of state security". This monograph is thus a kind of a natural and subsequent stage of the implementation of the intention resulting from the indicated premises, which were considered important. It can be a practical and useful help in teaching, in particular in the process of active education in the organization of security protection addressed to an individual citizen, and through him/her to the entire nation and the state in which

---

<sup>2</sup> Vide: A. Filipek, *Some trends in changes safety culture the students in the first and in the second decade of the twenty-first century*, [in:] *Safety and security culture in theory, research and practice*, A. Filipek ed., Monographs No. 151. Publisher: University of Natural Sciences and Humanities, Siedlce, 2014, pp. 343-350.

he/she lives. The nature of the presented "complementary component of security architecture", obtained thanks to the separation of its parts (sectors and elements that constitute them), provides convincing evidence to recognize the organs and organizational units included in it as important authority tools in the process of protecting the security of the nation and state. This situation allowed us to accept and prove that due to the need to improve the effectiveness of such protection, dealing with the currently binding legal and organizational aspects in the context of the multifaceted needs of the nation and the state invariably deserves attention and analytical reflection. The objective of such considerations should be a permanent identification correcting organizational and legal imperfections - especially that the perception of the system participants - both from an executive area and area of the creators of legal and organizational solutions - may be burdened with different, not always optimal, theoretical concepts and practical experiences. However, in order to "correct" something, it is first necessary to learn the mechanisms of its operation.

The implementation of the described undertaking was connected with the necessity of finding a method of approaching the problem, which would allow to meet the adopted assumptions, and at the same time would allow to show the multiplicity of both participants and organizational forms present in the identified architecture. I considered deductive reasoning as the most useful method among many used in the development of this monograph. The adopted approach was also associated with the use of in-depth analysis of the literature on the subject and legal acts and based on the identification of threats and challenges as well as on the knowledge of the state, its organization, and functioning. The results of the research allowed to perceive the need to take the broad protective actions (forms of protection) towards the nation and state by the various entities in the state, and facilitated the location of the properties of organs and organizational units in order to shape also a non-military security protection architecture in a specialized dimension.

The variety of problems, also those related strictly to the threats indicated by the practice of public life and the available literature of the subject (including of my authorship – *The Basics of National Security of the Republic of Poland. Study of the Theoretical Problems*), as well as legal acts, documents, and studies of experts and representative of public administration of various levels of state management, regulating the protection of security of protected entities, and organizational organs and units to exercise such protection, have allowed to present the discussed topic.

The presented background problem of this monograph had a impact on the adopted concept and the convention of investigations, as well as on specifying its title and on seeking answers to many questions, including the basic question of concern: what constitutes a complementary component of the architecture of security protection of the state and the nation, and what are the legal basis for the functioning and the scopes of the characteristics of participants of such a protection component?



The monograph is - apart from *The Introduction* and *The Conclusion* - a set of three chapters, each of which contains a summary<sup>3</sup>. In *The Introduction* I showed a problematic situation with the objective and the research problem acting for consideration in the operative part of the monograph<sup>4</sup>. In the main part of the monograph – in free chapters - by presenting and characterizing the complementary component of the security architecture of the nation and the state, I attempted to answer the problem questions formulated in *The Introduction*. I started this attempt with reflection on the theoretical aspects related to the expressions "protection of the safety of the object" and "architecture of security protection of the nation and the state", as well as on the nature, character, and functions of this architecture. Then - in the first chapter: *General characteristics of the Supplementary Component Architecture of Protection of the Safety of the Nation and the State*- I identified sectors complementing the protection of internal security and public order in the state.

In the second chapter: *The Operation of the Supplementary Component Architecture to Protect the Security of the State – Organizational and Legal Aspects*, I described the organizational and legal aspects of functioning of the complementary component of the architecture of state security protection.

The third chapter: *Legal and Organizational Aspects of Access to Hazardous Materials in the Protection of the Safety of the Nation and the States*, I referred to the role and significance of the rationing of access to hazardous materials - with emphasis on the issue of the individual's access to firearms. I tried also to explain the essence of the "rationing access to firearms" and to understand it. I showed this issue as the need to take an action to balance the individual's right to self-preservation in a forced confrontation with the progressive brutalization of public life using firearms and other tools commonly regarded as dangerous. At the same time pointed out the non-transferable need to verify applicants for a residence permit for possession of weapons and necessity of specialist medical examination and training have firearms.

In *The Conclusion*, I explained the genesis and premises of writing this monograph, pointing to its objective and results of investigations, and I have made generalized recommendations. The threads taken up in this monograph can be useful in the educational process that treats the security protection of the nation and the state as an organization created taking into account the needs of the individual (person). In my opinion an universal access to firearms does not mean a postulate "weapon to everybody", but an axiom of "weapon for a responsible person". The result of the

---

<sup>3</sup> I would reclaim synthetically of broader references to issues contained in the individual sections of the monograph.

<sup>4</sup> In the monograph I stepped back from the insertion of the assumptions and methodology-methodological work as promotional distribution characteristics of appropriate methodological dissertation thesis. Vide: A review of prof. W. Kitler concerning a monograph entitled *The basics of national security of the Republic of Poland. A study of theoretical issues*. Adam Marszałek, Toruń, 2015 (review available to the designated publishing houses)

conducted research process - in addition to the explanations used concepts related to architecture - the protection of the safety of the nation and the state, was an attempt to illustrate the part that is not strictly a police and military one, but has got a significant impact on the law and the safety of people. The nature of the activity of entities comprising elements of such protection components of security reflects the nature of the problems in the social reality. And if so, mainstreaming of issues presented in this monograph can be considered as apraxeological action, and at the same time inviting to a discourse on perfecting both the theory and practical solutions in the surveyed part of such social reality.

Publisher reviewers have written:

- the professor Jan Maciejewski, PhD: (...) *The monograph (...) is a (...) logical, next stage of scientific investigations, the first of which was crowned with the work The Fundamentals of National Security of the Republic of Poland (...) and covers the important issues of cognition. (...) the approach and manner of presenting it are new, interesting, and original. This circumstance should be read as an added value to the current research achievements (...). The quality of the study is undoubtedly supported by the fact that the Author puts a lot of questions to which he gives answers based skillfully not only on his knowledge from many scientific disciplines (...), but also by deepening and successfully using the knowledge obtained in the research process. The approach presented by the Author meets scientific requirements. It is based, among others, on a new thesis indicating that security is not, as it can be read in the subject literature - despite its indisputable significance - the most important objective of human activity and an authority in the state. The Author tries to prove that security is the secondary objective of human activity in the social group and the state. At the same time, he notes that the real (primary) objective of this activity (...) is existence and development - "persistence" and "development". This approach allowed the Author to present - in an autonomous manner, using scientific methods, including in-depth analysis, as well as specific criteria - a model of the architecture of protection of the nation's and state's security and its essence. In his inquiries, the Author goes beyond the boundaries of "here and now" and the problems raised in the monograph - in the opinion of the Reviewer - are both scientific and utilitarian. (...) due to the innovative way the shot put forth the issues, as well as the logical way and good style development monograph should she meet with great interest to faculty members and students in teaching safety the national and internal security (...). At the University of Wroclaw will be used as a textbook on the directions of study.(...);*

- dr hab. Ryszard Szyński: (...) *The methodological concept was presented in the introduction and in the first chapter. In the mentioned parts, the Author conducts a discussion: he raises questions (problem questions) and then he answers these questions using the method of*

*deductive reasoning. In this way, he explains not only the "security of the nation and the state" understood by the Author, but also "the organization of protection of the security of the nation and the state (...)". The art of dialogue conducted by the Author in the monograph allowed to present the components of the scientific research methodology including: problem situation, subject of research, definition of objective, main research problem together with detailed research problems. (...) In particular parts, the accepted presentation logic can be clearly seen, including: introduction and defining concepts, and adopting classifications and references to the current legal status. (...) In conclusion, the monograph combines cognitive, theoretical, and model elements as well as utilitarian elements. The monograph is comprehensive and constitutes an original and creative contribution to the development of security and defense sciences. (...).*

Excerpts from reviews of publishing reviews show, according to an announcement, an element of an author's discussion of scientific work aim, the results achieved and indication to possible use.

## **5. Discussion of other scientific-research (artistic) achievements**

I will discuss the other important scientific and research achievements in the area of national and state security in two main parts:

- 1) the genesis of scientific and research interests and selected results of these interests;
- 2) the scientific-didactic achievements and more important results.

### **Re: 1 Part one - the genesis of scientific interests and their more important results:**

The experience gained in the first stage of professional military service, as well as multi-faceted conditions, constituted the main premises for starting second-cycle studies at the Faculty of Management at the University of Warsaw. After graduating (1989), I was directed to the central institution of the Ministry of National Defense - here the second stage of my professional military service began and I got to know the functioning of the Ministry of National Defense and the Armed Forces of the Republic of Poland, particularly in the field of organizational, personnel and administration, as well as military education. At the time, I was the author of several solutions improving the organizational and administrative activities of the Armed Forces of the Republic of Poland. I carried out *the third stage of professional military service* in the Ministry of Health (1998-2000) as deputy director of the Department of Defense Affairs in this ministry. Here are some of them:

- participation in the coordination of *The Principles of the Activities of the Ministry of Health and the Rules for the Creation, Storage and Use of Medical Provisions in*

*Emergency Situations* prepared by the Department of Defence in the Ministry of Health (1998/1999);

- participation in the work of the team in the Ministry of Health organizing the security during the visit of Pope John Paul II in Poland (1998/1999);
- coordination of the activities at the level of the Ministry of Health connected with the preparation and participation in the exercise, internationally codenamed "HEXAGRAND 99" organized by the Head of the National Civil Defence; its goal was to improve the cooperation in state administration at various levels and with neighboring countries in the south and west of Poland in preventing and combating crises - floods (1999);
- I prepared *The Framework Program for Acquiring the Desired Amounts of Possession of State Healthcare Reserves* (1999/2000);
- getting familiar - as part of gaining experience in organization and management of state health care reserves - with the equipment and the practical possibilities of mobile field hospitals produced by Daimler Chrysler Aerospace in Friedrichshafen, Germany, presented in their full capacity (2000);
- participation in the preliminary stage of the work of the representatives of the relevant ministries and other bodies - under the leadership of the Ministry of Economy –on the preparation of the project entitled: *The Program of the Mobilization of the Economy in 2001-2006* (2000).

The knowledge and experience acquired at that time constituted a particular inspiration and an impulse to deep reflection, including scientific analysis, relating to the efficiency and rationality of the medical security system. It is worth noting that this organizational form connected with, among others, the creation, maintenance, turnover and distribution of specialized reserves absorbs significant financial resources, while it does not high returns. These factors, including the quality of the reserves and the relationship with the participants of the scheme, were among the main determinants imply preparation of a publication entitled identification of potential public health service reserve ("Military Thought" The Ministry of National Defence 1/2001) and taking up and preparation - during my doctoral studies at the Strategy and Defense Department of the National Defence Academy in Warsaw – the dissertation entitled: *The Model of the System of Managing State Health Care Reserves of the Republic of Poland* (2005), under the scientific supervision of Colonel. prof. Dr. hab. Eng. Eugeniusz Nowak. The main result of this paper was to present the utilitarian model of the organization of managing reserves created in the country in order to ensure the health security of people in situations other than normal. The completion of the doctoral

dissertation and the public exam can be regarded as a kind of recapitulation of the first part - the origin of the research interests and the major results of these interests.

**Re: 2The second part - the research, teaching and publication practice and its results**

My research and teaching practice started when I took up the job as a lecturer at the Institute of Security in the Social High School of Business and Management in Lodz - Branch Campus in Warsaw (2008), and then - after the change of the name of the university - the Academy of Social Sciences. As a scientific and teaching employee, I conducted research and teaching activities (lectures and classes) in many subjects with BA and MA students in stationary and non-stationary studies at the National Security faculty. This form of activity in addition to a large investment of time and effort allowed the intensive and multi-faceted exploration of the security theory of the nation and the state. Below you will find the description of my teaching experience:

– BA studies:

1. The History of Security (lectures, classes), 2008-2011 Social High School of Business and Management.
2. Legal Basis for Security (lectures and classes), 2009-2011 Social High School of Business and Management.
3. Energy security (lectures and classes), 2010/2011, Social High School of Business and Management.
4. Social security (lectures, classes), 2010/2011, Social High School of Business and Management.
5. Security Policy (lectures) 2011, Social High School of Business and Management.
6. Diploma seminar, 2011/2012, Academy of Social Sciences.
7. State and Law Studies (lectures, classes), 2011/2012, Academy of Social Sciences.
8. The History of Administration and Internal Security (classes), 2014/2015, National Defence University.
9. Basic Course in Security Management / Leadership (classes), 2014/2015, National Defence University.
10. Civil Organization of Protection and National Defense (seminar) 2014/2015, the Higher School of Commerce in Radom.
11. The History of Security (lectures, classes), 2015/2016, National Defence University.
12. Combating terrorism (lectures, classes), 2017/2018, State University of Applied Sciences in Konin.
13. Operational intelligence activities 2017/2018, State University of Applied Sciences in Konin.
14. Diploma seminary, 2017/2018, State University of Applied Sciences in Konin.

– MA studies:

1. Professional Ethics (lectures and classes), 2011/2012, Academy of Social Sciences.
2. Benefits for the Defense (lectures and classes), 2011/2012, Academy of Social Sciences.
3. Theories and Models of Security (classes), 2012/2013, National Defence University.
4. Theories and Models of Military Security (classes), 2012/2013, National Defence University.
5. Civil Protection and Civil Defense (lectures), 2014/2015, the Higher School of Commerce in Radom.

### **Research and other scientific achievements**

The undertaken and conducted scientific studies allowed me to focus my research activities mainly on two areas:

- the quality of the theory,
- the quality of the didactics

**My first area of research- the quality of the theory** - was the security theory and practice of the Nation and the State and the semantic problems in the sciences of security and the sciences of defense, as well as the causes and the consequences of their occurrence.

In-depth discussions on security gave me an important impetus to take up monograph entitled *The Law and Security of the Nation and the State* (2011), Social High School of Business and Management. In this monograph I presented interpretations and definitions of key concepts and a basic scope of regulations main components of the organization for security of the nation and the state. These problems were an attempt to present the nature and the role of law in safety and management. The purpose of the research was to show, in the context of legal provisions administrative and law regulation quality and its impact on the reasonableness of the organizational solutions in the area of security. Lack of stability in key for the security of the nation and the state issues, creates conditions that hinder the construction of an effective organizational structure with the procedures for the effective functioning of the competent on the authorities. This monograph was the initial stage of research and of the description of the organization for security in the country. During the development of the monograph there have been identified some definition problems of the concepts. That inspired me to start (since a year 2010) a scientific research project entitled *Discovering and Defining the Problems of the Sciences of Security and the Sciences of Defense*. The research problem covered the aspects of the terminological confusion first in the military sciences, and then, after the introduction of the new classification of sciences (2011), in the sciences of security and in the sciences of defense.



The subject of research in this project became a matter in meaning and terminology governance concepts in the theory of security. The range of tests he took over aspects of semantic first in military science and then, after the introduction of the new classification (2011), of safety and of defence. The result of these investigations was the development of forming chapters and monographs, e.g.:

1. *Crisis Management and Security Management in Dangerous Situations and States of Emergency in The Theoretical and Practical aspects of Management and Security* T.Grabia ed., „Entrepreneurship and Management”, t. XII, z. 10. Publisher: Social High School of Business and Management, Łódź–Warszawa 2011.
2. *Identification and Definition Problems of the State Security and National Security and their Essence*, in *Elements of the Theory and Practice of Transdisciplinary Research and Security Issues*, M. Cieślarczyk, A. Filipek, A.W. Świdorski, J. Ważniewska eds., Publisher: University of Natural Sciences and Humanities, Siedlce 2013.
3. The phenomenon of interchangeability and ambiguity terms in the theory of security and its impact on the functioning of the control groups, in: *Control group to risks the security of the State*. University in Wrocław.
4. *The Theory of Security - Semantic Aspects of Selected Concepts in Security and Defence - Discovering meanings. Contexts: Formal and Legal, Scientific-research and Teaching*. A. Filipek ed., Publisher: University of Natural Sciences and Humanities, Siedlce 2014.
5. *Security and Theory of Security. The reflection of the terminology*, in: *Security and Crisis Management. Security management*, Z. Wilk-Woś ed., „Entrepreneurship and Management”, vol. XVIII, journal V, part III, Publisher: Social Academy of Science, Łódź–Warszawa 2017.
6. *Threat and its Essence. The Theory of the Problem* (2018, University of Natural Sciences and Humanities, Siedlce).
7. *To Understand the Safety ... Selected Problem*. (a planned date of publication 2019).

In the monograph in the publishing process: *To understand the safety ... Selected problem*, I try to find the answer to the main question about the cause or reason for existence in the literature of the interpretative discrepancies and definition of phenomena and concepts which are fundamental in the practice of security. Also I was trying to find the answers to additional questions which could affect the coherence theory of security? Whether the definitions that specify the studied phenomena have a common core of meanings, shared a lasting feature? What is the essence of the concepts that define these phenomena?

As a result of the studying elements of the new approach to the perception and understanding of the concepts behind them, as well as a variety of copyright interpretation of design and definition of concepts related to security and threats nation and state in the various states of the functioning.

Research process on this monograph, in addition to the already described previously used my methods in addition e.g. method: philological (to deriving conclusions from the analysis of the meanings of the concepts and relationships between phenomena and their names based on e.g. about the sample the views of the representatives of the mainly Sciences), phenomenological (to refer to what data and penetration into the things, and so that's what the data with the use of intuition to the formulation of the meaning of the concepts of discuss subjects), hermeneutic (to clarify understanding of the phenomena and concepts behind them, e.g. by reference to contexts-mainly historical and geographical – in order to give the meaning of the investigated phenomena deeper meaning; as well as self-reflection in formulating thoughts based on your own point of view), induction (to compare perceptions of phenomena, interpreting and defining and shaping the wording of the General), stem (to the development of copyright interpretation of design and definition of concepts, as well as to determine the etymology of concepts that are a mover of creating and improving the organization of the protection of the safety and resolution service, including the situation of an extraordinary).

To the most important results in understanding concepts in safety, in addition to the interpretations and definitions of design concepts that define them, you must identify the dilemmas semantically-property, plant and equipment resulting from the classification of science with the year 2011. Therefore, I elaborated an original model of the concept of classification of Polish science in the section on security. In this model, suggested e.g. two scientific discipline: learning about the internal security and external security. A tool to extract such disciplines was method unity primary criterion: policy, security, conditions. Classification safety Sciences instigative model with his description I presented in a monograph entitled the basics of national security of the Republic of Poland. Study of the problems of the theoretical (Torun 2015).

As practice, changes in the classification of the Polish science made in the 2018 are compatible in substantial part with the concept contained in the model that I developed the concept of classification of Polish Science (in the section on safety). In the new classification of Sciences rightly does not include defense Sciences discipline. The issue of this discipline, as a narrower z range from safety is in safety science. I would like to note, however, since there is a general classification of the sciences, is in my opinion, still I see important conditions for recognition in the sciences for the safety of the internal and external safety Sciences, which suggested in his model contained in that position publishing. Selected monograph - pt. Basics of national security of the





Republic of Poland. Study of theoretical problems (Toruń 2015) - through deliberately given a construction with a thoroughly presented methodological and methodological part was supposed to support (mainly) students in the process of preparing theoretical scientific studies. The leading issue of this monograph was an attempt to present the concept of perceiving the important theoretical scientific problems covering terminological, legal and organizational issues as well as the perception of challenges and threats related to the architecture of state and nation security.

In order to broaden the discourse in the scientific community on the merits of change of the Polish classification of Sciences, in addition to the monograph entitled the basics of national security of the Republic of Poland. Study of the problems of the theoretical (2015), I wrote also in the articles which are also sections aggregate monograph, e.g.:

1. About the dilemmas of Polish classification of Sciences in terms of safety, in: progress in safety engineering (scientific ed.) K.A. Skibniewska, m. Lutostański, issued in the 2015, by University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn,
2. Dilemmas of Polish classification of Sciences in terms of safety. Security Engineering, delivered in the 2016, by National Defense Academy Research notebooks, Quarterly No. 3 (104),
3. The Idea of a scientific discipline "the science of safety" and its consequences, "History and Politics" No. 25 (32)/2018, issued in the year 2018 by. Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń.

**The second research area** embraced aspects related to the education of students at National Security and Internal Security Faculties.

My research and teaching activities, analytical and research work in both in the field of the security theory and practice of the nation and the state, as well as the education of students in public and private universities, in stationary and non-stationary mode enabled me to see many important problems in the process of studying security. Diversified educational activity of students and students whose topic is people with disabilities. Analysis and application of research results and findings, inter-university, scientific and original pilot project a study on the "Student and studying security" (2014). This project, carried out under my leadership, was taken up by the Security Fundamentals Department of the Faculty of Technical Sciences, of the University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Its implementation was attended by eight academic teachers (full professor, postdoctoral doctor and six doctors) from five public and non-public universities (National Defense Academy in Warsaw, Pomeranian University in Słupsk, Main School of Fire Service in Warsaw, University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, College of Commerce in Radom). The study covered

over 400 students, while the questionnaires included three hundred and fifty respondents (N = 350) from six randomly chosen Polish public and private universities educating students in the fields of National Security and Internal Security, in full-time and part-time. The main objective of the study was to collect information about the existing problem and directional experiments to conduct the main research on "Portrait of a student studying security".

The students' respondents were asked closed and open questions. It was purposeful and was treated as a form of quantitative and qualitative research. It is worth noting that the open-ended questions were aimed at broadening the knowledge about problems in studying security, indicated by students in answers to closed questions. The results obtained in the course of the research process confirmed the research hypotheses, including it was confirmed that students do not always link raising their qualifications with future professional work, and studying security is motivated more by having a university diploma. The collected research results also allowed to indicate twenty-six recommendations and author's applications related to the survey questions.

As a result of the research a project being carried out, a monograph entitled "*Student and Study Security*", also under my editorship. *Student and security studies. Exploratory research*, Wyd. University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn (Olsztyn 2015). I am one of the authors of this monograph (50% – each).

The results so far of the preliminary research found their expression, among others, in the preparation of two independent engineering works at the University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn (2016), entitled: *The Student Profile of Internal Security - survey research* and *The Student Profile of National Security - survey research*.

The preliminary research results were also the subject of papers at national and international conferences, as well as the subject of many discussions (with my initiative and my participation in State University of Applied Sciences in Konin, Poland).

The possibility of organizing an international scientific theme conference is being considered and its main element would be to present the results and conclusions from the study.

Due to new formal and legal conditions in the field of education in general secondary schools as well as due to the end in 2016 of these years of this type of education and the planned proper research on "Portrait of a Student in the Field Security", the research group decided to precede specific research with additional research taking into account, among others, issue of uniformed classes. Additional studies included the indicated facts and assume – in terms of Internal Security – comparison of the obtained results with the results from the year 2015. For this purpose, a national research team was set up (six universities) has conducted research on internal security. The theme of this project was "Silhouette of a Student Studying in the Field of Internal Security". The research

was organized and carried out on behalf of and under the affiliation of the State University of Applied Sciences in Konin from November 2017 to May 2018.

In this research project I was the deputy manager (research project). The results of this study, including the presentation method, are currently a subject to additional analysis as the monographic study has not yet obtained its final shape. It is also considered to organize a scientific thematic conference, where the main element would be to submit the results and conclusions from the research to the discourse.

Based on the analysis of the obtained results which indicate the significant problems associated with "security studies" and experience gained during the implementation of two national research projects with an inter-university range, I developed a publication on the subject of *General Characteristics of the People and the Environment of the Student of Internal Security with the Comment of the Results* is in progress. Based on the experience gained during the implementation of the indicated two research projects, I initiated work on establishing a wide research team (consortium) to carry out a nationwide, scientific research project entitled "*Portrait of a Student in the Field Security*" - preparatory process of research in progress (a preliminary concept and research tool were prepared, among others). The formal statement of the most important part of my teaching and research activities<sup>5</sup> - beyond programs of mine courses - contains a list of my acquisitions of the planned program - social sciences (annex 4). I present a graphical overview of the list (below):

Table 1. graphical summary of this list

<b>Ordinal</b>	<b>Kind of publication</b>	<b>Number</b>
1.	Individual monographs	4
2.	Monographs created in co-authorship (two authors, each 50% participation in the study)	1
3.	Corporate monographs and individual scientific articles in national publications (author of chapters, sub-chapters and articles)	33
4.	Individual scientific articles in external publications	1
5.	Scientific articles created in co-authorship (two authors, each 50% participation in the study)	1
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>40</b>
6.	Individual monographs in preparation: 1) planned publication - planned publication march/april 2019 2) in preparation - planned publication 2019/2020	2
7.	Corporate monographs in preparation - planned publication in 2020	1

<sup>5</sup> From obtaining the doctorate in 2005.

### **Participation and an instance during the international and national conferences, seminars, symposiums and scientific sessions**

Participation and remarks at international and national conferences, seminars, symposiums and scientific sessions. My teaching and scientific activity, scientific research and educational reflected in participation in more than fifty international and national conferences, workshops, seminars, symposia, sessions and scientific lectures devoted to the wider problems of security, in thirteen international ones. During these conferences, I gave seventeen lectures, including seven during international conferences. During those two international scientific conferences I was once a co-leading a panel session entitled *Security and Integration in the Global Dimension*, and the other time I was a moderator of a panel session entitled *The International Response to Conflict in Ukraine*. A detailed list presenting that part of my scientific activity (from obtaining the doctorate degree) contains Annex 4- *A List of the Acquis of the Habilitation Program-Social Science* .

Moreover, in the current year there is provided my participation in three conferences and some scientific workshop, including one Conference in Ukraine.

### **Organizational and scientific committees of national and international scientific conferences- the nature of participation**

So far, I've been three times a member of the Organizing Committee of the Conference, including one International Conference. I was also six times a member of the Scientific Committee, including five times during international scientific conferences.

### **Participation in scientific organizations and scientific cooperation:**

1. Polish Society of Security Sciences, member since November 22th, 2014.
2. EUROPEAN ASSOCIATION for SECURITY, member since May 11th, 2012.
3. EUROPEAN ASSOCIATION for SECURITY, secretary of the Scientific Council since June 11th, 2016 (term of office 2016-2020).
4. EUROPEAN ASSOCIATION for SECURITY, reviewer of scientific publications since June 11th, 2016.
5. Polish Society of Security Sciences, member of the Peer Court September 25th, 2017).
6. Professor Kazimierz Bogdański Transdisciplinary Center for the Study of Security Issues (University of Natural Sciences and Humanities in Siedlce), scientific cooperation since March 29th, 2012.



### **Participation in the editorial committees and councils of the scientific journals:**

1. A permanent Subject of scientific Journals Editor of the Institute of the Polish economy, technology and Society. Prof. Edward Taylor, Entrepreneurship and the law. ISSN 2544-2937 (since, 2017),
2. Member of the Scientific Council the Scientific Journals of the Institute of the Polish economy, technology and Society. Prof. Edward Taylor Entrepreneurship and the law. ISSN 2544-2937 (since, 2017).

### **Editing and most recent example is that the scientific development of the book**

1. T. Kęsoń, m. Lutostański, Student and study security. Research rekonesansowe. Final report, (red.) M. Lutostański. University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Olsztyn, 2015. ISBN 978-83-7299-979,
2. Progress in engineering safety (ed.) K. Skibniewska, M. Lutostański. University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Olsztyn, 2015. ISBN 978-83-7299-995-5.

### **Scientific guidance for students**

In my current scientific and didactic practice, I conducted a graduate seminar with 63 students. Under my supervision, 33 students have defended their Diploma Thesis.

A few of my diplomats has been highlighted by the authorities of the University, including one in prize money "The competition for the best Thesis in homeland security" at the State higher vocational school in Konin in the academic year 2017/2018.

### **Prepared reviews**

Drawn up to date reviews carry a fifty-three reviews, including four peer-reviewed scientific papers and forty-nine reviews thesis (undergraduate).

### **Other forms of scientific, didactic, and popularizing activity:**

1. Mościcki Institute of Industrial Chemistry in Warsaw. Lecture as part of the defense training of the staff of the Institute. Subject of the lecture: *Organization of Protection and National Defense in Various States of the Nation's and State's Functioning*, Warsaw 2015.
2. Operational Command of the Armed Forces Types. Lecture as part of the inaugural complementary training of the Staff of the Operational Command of the Armed Forces Types. Subject of the lecture: *Main Components of the Architecture of State Security Protection*, Warsaw 2016.
3. Main Board of the World Association of Home Army Soldiers. Subject of the lecture: *Political and Military Situation in the Modern World*, Warsaw 2016.

4. A lecture open to graduates of secondary schools in Konin. About the lecture: the threat as a main factor in the organisation of the protection of the safety of the nation and the State, the State University of Applied Sciences in Konin, Konin 2018.

Taken by me of action in the area of theory and practice of security of the nation and the State met with numerous acts of recognition. Many times I was rewarded with different types of recognition such a the Government, ministerial orders, badges of honor, diplomas and other prizes (more about these issues in the annex no. 4). It happened that my narration, that indicates dysfunctions identified in different areas of the wide range personal safety, found some opponents. Some of them, however, as a result of the analysis of the issues covered by the discourse, especially in the context of the developments in the areas of socio-political and scientific-didactic, make the correction in the perception of the issues concerned.

I have also changed my perception of multidimensional security and evolved form of its presentation.

